IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	Civil Action No. 05-00338 (EGS)
)	
WILLIAMS et al.)	
)	
Defendants.)	

CSXT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff CSX Transportation, Inc. ("CSXT" or "Plaintiff") respectfully moves this Court for summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and Local Civil Rule 56.1.

As set out more fully in the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of CSXT's Motion for Summary Judgment, the previously filed Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and supporting affidavits and exhibits, Plaintiff seeks summary judgment on its claims that:

the District of Columbia Terrorism Prevention in Hazardous Materials
 Transportation Emergency Act of 2005 ("District Act") is preempted under
 the express preemption provision of the Federal Railroad Safety Act,
 49 U.S.C. § 20106, and the Supremacy Clause of the United States
 Constitution (Art. VI, ¶ 2);

- the District Act is preempted under the express preemption provisions of the
 Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. § 5125(a) and (b), and the
 Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution (Art. VI, ¶ 2);
- the District Act is preempted under the express preemption provision of the Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Action of 1995, 49 U.S.C.
 § 10501(b), and the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution (Art. VI, ¶ 2);
- the Council of the District of Columbia lacked the legislative authority under the Home Rule Act to pass the District Act; and
- the District Act is a *per se* violation of the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution (Article I, § 8, cl. 3).

Plaintiff's accompanying Statement of Material Facts as to Which There Is No Genuine Issue demonstrates that no genuine dispute of material fact exists in this case. Applicable Supreme Court and lower federal court precedent establishes that the District Act is invalid as a matter of law. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to judgment on its claims. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks a declaration that the District Act is invalid and a preliminary injunction prohibiting defendants Mayor Williams and District of Columbia from implementing or enforcing this law or any more permanent version of it.

The granting of this dispositive motion will fully resolve this case, avoiding unnecessary discovery and trial preparation and conserving the resources of the judicial system.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 8, 2005 /s/ Mary Gabrielle Sprague

Ellen M. Fitzsimmons
Peter J. Shudtz
Paul R. Hitchcock
CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.
500 Water Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 359-3100

Irvin B. Nathan (D.C. Bar No. 090449) Mary Gabrielle Sprague (D.C. Bar No. 431763) Kathryn E. Taylor (D.C. Bar No. 486564) ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 555 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1206 (202) 942-5000 (202) 942-5999 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Plaintiff CSX Transportation, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 8, 2005, copies of <u>CSXT's Motion for Summary</u>

Judgment, <u>Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of CSXT's Motion for Summary Judgment</u>, and <u>Statement of Material Facts as to Which There Is No Dispute</u>

were served electronically by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia

Electronic Document Filing System (ECF), on the following:

George C. Valentine
Robert C. Utiger
Richard S. Love
Andrew J. Saindon
Office of the Attorney General
for the District of Columbia
441 Fourth Street, N.W., 6th Floor South
Washington, D.C. 20001

G. Paul Moates
Terence M. Hynes
Matthew J. Warren
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

James R. Wrathall Marc J. Blitz Leondra R. Kruger Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Caroline Lewis Wolverton Federal Programs Branch Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 883 Washington, D.C. 20044

Robert M. Jenkins III David M. Gossett Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 1909 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

A paper copy was served via U.S. Mail on the following:

Nicholas James DiMichael Scott A. Harvey Thompson, Hine & Flory 1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 200036

> /s/ Kathryn E. Taylor Kathryn E. Taylor

_